

A circular fashion economy is about more than clothes

By Lynn Oxborrow 22 Nov 2016

When you shop for a new jumper, how much thought do you give to the fate of the one it will replace? Do you dump a jacket because it starts to look ragged, or falls out of fashion? More and more, the clothing industry is wrestling with the same questions.



© Axel Bueckert - 123RF.com

Some retailers are trying to take it out of your hands, embracing the circular economy by increasing their use of recycled and sustainable materials. Swedish firm H&M <u>is the latest</u> to join in, following brands such as <u>Levi Strauss</u> and <u>Patagonia</u>. Laudable though this is, a growing body of evidence suggests that other approaches could do just as much to reduce the amount of clothing sent to landfill and help to improve resource security.

Each year, millions of garments (<u>one third of a million tonnes of clothing</u>) end up in landfill in the UK alone. This is ten times the volume reportedly collected for recycling by H&M since 2013. Collecting it doesn't guarantee reducing landfill. Re-use or recycling options all have their limitations, such as separating mixed fibres for recycling and securing ethical secondhand markets for used clothing.

One way to avoid the problem is by increasing the <u>active lifetime of clothing</u>. This can reduce the amount of materials consumed and delay the point at which clothing is recycled or disposed of. <u>WRAP</u> – the UK Waste and Resources Action Programme – concluded that the clothing industry could reduce carbon, water and waste impacts by as much as 10% if garments were worn for just three months longer than their current average of around three years.

Life choices

Short clothing lifetimes are associated with changes in fashion. However, research by <u>lpsos MORI</u> has shown that more than half of us own unworn clothing that no longer fits, 10% hang on to worn out favourites, and 36% own items that they consider have gone out of fashion.

Admittedly, the fashion issue rises to 58% among 16- to 24-year-olds. These are a target sector for retailers such as H&M. Many admit they could buy more items that are "made to last", some as "investment pieces" like a cashmere jumper or a classic coat.

Retailers claim they have little influence over the behaviour of their consumers. But they could design and promote clothing that is more forgiving to slight changes in size, or easier to alter or adjust, and that consumers would want to use for longer. Research shows that fit and comfort also influence the level of emotional attachment consumers feel towards items of clothing, provoking change in consumption patterns and perceptions of value.

Retailers can also encourage their design teams and suppliers to avoid early garment failure, such as colour loss, shrinking and pilling (those unsightly bobbles). They could do more to choose and test materials and clothing to ensure that it is fit for everyday wear, tear and care. Equally, the decision to use non-recyclable materials, such as cotton/polyester is made during the design process and could be discouraged.

Design flaws

In a recent project <u>funded by the Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs</u>, researchers from Nottingham Trent University studied the obstacles that prevent clothing businesses from making items that last longer. The starting point: it is technically possible, but the business case for doing so needs further development.

Design is crucial, of course. Knowledgeable designers should be well-placed to increase product longevity, use sustainable materials and make clothes easier to recycle. We found, however, that there was a simple lack of technical knowledge in many product development teams and in their increasingly fragmented global supply chains. There was also a lack of agency among many designers and suppliers, as well as a lack of strong governance on sustainability issues.

Improved communication from retailers to consumers could reduce confusion and help them to act more sustainably. There are some good examples – such as Darn Tough socks and Flint & Tinder's 10 Year Hoodie – of companies designing more durable products to support their brand strategies. In highly competitive markets such as schoolwear, an array of product lifetime guarantees are used to create a competitive advantage.

Business case

At the heart of this, of course, is money. Sustainable design and technical decisions take a backseat when <u>compared to commercial decisions</u> based on cost and speed. In our research, backed up by scanning the high street, some retailers admitted reducing the quality of their products over time to match demand for lower retail prices.

Without doubt, a wide-scale adoption of longer lasting clothes would require new thinking on <u>business models</u>. There would need to be a move away from volume to quality, durability and services, such as repair. This could help to extend clothing lifetimes and reinvigorate consumers' emotional attachment to old favourites.

The UK market for clothing and footwear is worth some £50 billion a year. While the concept of selling fewer products might seem a recipe for disaster, the idea is that providing added sales value through deeper customer satisfaction and loyalty can compensate for lost unit sales. Add to this income from services, such as mending or leasing, and reduced waste from over-production and markdowns, and a smaller industry could perhaps be just as profitable, but more sustainable. The

threat of material scarcity, for example oil (from which polyester is derived) may achieve <u>peak global production</u> as soon as 2020 and lead to rising prices, offers an additional financial incentive.

The challenge is proving this on a <u>large scale</u> when most examples to date are <u>small</u>, <u>niche brand concepts</u>. A re-evaluation of clothing design and the supply chain could help to reduce the amount of clothes sent to landfill, improve recycling potential and lessen the environmental impact. This would involve a proactive, visionary and <u>far-sighted design approach</u> to sustainable design and consumption. While technically possible, this is commercially some way off – a particular concern when the pace of change in consumer markets and growth in global consumerism appears to outstrip that within the industry.

Clothing brands should adopt a more rounded approach to reducing clothing waste as an alternative to perpetuating fast fashion. This means investing in their design processes, improving technical knowledge within their supply chains and genuinely exploring alternative business models.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Lynn Oxborrow is project academic lead, Nottingham Trent University.

For more, visit: https://www.bizcommunity.com